Т	MR. BEAL: This will be the
2	deposition of Defendant Lin Wood taken
3	pursuant to Notice and agreement of
4	Counsel. I would propose that all
5	objections save to the form of the
6	question or responsiveness of the
7	answer be reserved until first use of
8	the deposition, is that agreeable?
9	MR. HARRISON: Agreed.
10	(Whereupon, Plaintiff's Exhibit
11	Number 1 was marked for
12	identification.)
13	DIRECT EXAMINATION
14	BY MR. BEAL:
15	Q Mr. Wood, we met before. My name is
16	Drew Beal, and I believe you are well versed in
17	the world of depositions, so I won't give you
18	any preamble. Instead I will give you what has
19	been marked as Exhibit 1 to this deposition and
20	ask you if you have seen that before?
21	A I did receive it in advance of it, yes.
22	Q What did you do in preparation for this
23	deposition?
24	A Nothing. I prayed.
25	O Did you have any review of documents?



- 11
- 1 Q Let us unpack what you just said.
- 2 If they were no longer Associate's did
- 3 you refer to them in the Bar and the courts and
- 4 the clients as your partner?
- 5 A I did and they were my partners. They
- 6 were partners in a business relationship.
- 7 Q And did they sign Pleadings as partners
- 8 of L. Lin Wood, P.C.?
- 9 A I don't know if they had the word
- 10 partners, but they certainly signed Pleadings as
- 11 under the name L. Lin Wood, P.C.
- 12 Q All right, thank you.
- And when you would pay them a fee, a
- 14 portion of the fee recovered, did you pay that
- 15 to them individually or to one of their PC's or
- 16 LLC's?
- 17 A I did not pay them individually. So
- 18 the arrangement was Nicole had -- when she was
- 19 leaving Bryan Cave and I offered her a place to
- 20 work, instead of her going out and starting up
- 21 her own physical law firm, I thought it would be
- 22 helpful to her and helpful to me, because Nicole
- 23 is a very smart lawyer; and I envisioned that I
- 24 would be able to engage her to help me in
- 25 matters, and so all of the fees that were paid



1	Q No, I mean the Settlement Statement?
2	A I would have to see it. I think it
3	showed how the breakdown of money. In other
4	words, my recollection is that it listed how
5	much each of them got.
6	Q Okay.
7	(Whereupon, Plaintiff's Exhibit
8	Number 6 was marked for
9	identification.)
10	BY MR. BEAL:
11	Q Let me hand you what has been marked
12	Exhibit 6.
13	And we are going to be talking about
14	the first long Email from Taylor Wilson to you
15	dated February 17, 2020.
16	A Okay, we are going to be talking about
17	the first part of it? Not February 18th?
18	Q Correct. I don't know. We just left
19	it on there for context, because it was part of
20	the chain.
21	Do you remember entering into an
22	agreement with the Plaintiffs here regarding the
23	fee splits that are reflected here on Taylor's
24	Email to you of February 17, 2020?
25	A I do remember speaking with them on the



- 1 phone, and we reached an agreement as to how the
- 2 fee -- the fees themselves would be divided. We
- 3 did not reach at that time an agreement on the
- 4 overall issues that were between us.
- 5 Q Okay.
- 6 A In fact, I remember it well because I
- 7 had to ask --
- 8 MR. BEAL: Hold on for one second.
- 9 (Whereupon, an off-the-record
- 10 discussion was held.)
- 11 BY MR. BEAL:
- 12 Q I am handing you back Exhibit 5. We
- 13 needed to black out a total in the recovery in
- 14 Sandmann.
- 15 A It might be a good idea to block off
- 16 Carbone and CNN on the second page, because that
- 17 agreement may have been confidential at CNN's
- 18 request.
- 19 Q We can do that at the end of the
- 20 deposition.
- 21 So this agreement by -- this Email by
- 22 Taylor sets forth in writing the agreement you
- 23 had reached certainly by February 17th on
- 24 regarding fee splits in a variety of cases, is
- 25 that correct?



- 1 A I think it reflects how we agreed to
- 2 divide the fee, not the final agreement on how
- 3 we were going to sever the relationship, because
- 4 there were other issues.
- 5 Q Right.
- 6 A But it does, because I remember the
- 7 phone call was on the 17th three days after they
- 8 had left the office sharing agreement with
- 9 myself and my PC; and I remember having a
- 10 conversation. I was trying to be -- I was
- 11 trying to calm the waters at that time.
- 12 0 I understand.
- 13 A We were going through a very difficult
- 14 time period dealing with Johnathan and Taylor --
- 15 not so much Nicole -- starting in October of
- 16 2019, and there were a lot of things that were
- 17 done that created problems --
- 18 O But this Email --
- 19 A Let me finish, and I was trying to calm
- 20 the waters. And I remember that I said what do
- 21 you all think is fair? And they said
- 22 35 percent. I said I will give you 50, is that
- 23 fair? Yeah, yeah, we will take 50.
- 24 And that that was a discussion that
- 25 occurred on February 17th and Taylor sent an



- 1 Email confirming it.
- 2 Q Thank you.
- 3 And so if you turn over to the second
- 4 page of Exhibit 6 (b) is Carbone versus CNN, the
- 5 proposed -- you proposed to split the fee
- 6 40 percent to L. Lin Wood, P.C. and 60 percent
- 7 to us.
- 8 Did I read that correctly?
- 9 A Yes, that is what it says.
- 10 Q And the date of this is February 17th,
- 11 is that correct?
- 12 A Yes.
- 13 Q And then if we refer back to Exhibit 5,
- 14 the date of that fee disbursement is about a
- 15 week after? It is February 25th, is that
- 16 correct?
- 17 A It is because, and I tell you, I think
- 18 I am right I think, after I had had the
- 19 conversation with Johnathan Taylor and Nicole on
- 20 the 17th, things occurred that placed doubt in
- 21 my mind as to whether I was going to actually do
- 22 what I had said on the 17th in terms of the fee
- 23 division.
- Q Whether you were going to honor that
- 25 promise?



- 1 A Well, it wasn't a done deal; and issues
- 2 arose about the lease, and I was not happy with
- 3 them.
- 4 And so at the time that I did the
- 5 Carbone Settlement Statement in my mind it was
- 6 unclear what was going to happen with Carbone.
- 7 Q And so you didn't list them on
- 8 Exhibit 5 on the Carbone Settlement Statement
- 9 because you planned to keep all the fees
- 10 yourself?
- 11 A That is not true.
- MR. HARRISON: Object to the form.
- 13 BY MR. BEAL:
- 14 0 Well, you said --
- 15 THE WITNESS: Hold on, that is not
- 16 true at all.
- 17 BY MR. BEAL:
- 18 Q Okay.
- 19 A In fact, I got to remember the date;
- 20 but somewhere after -- or shortly after or
- 21 before maybe, February 20th, I engaged Alston &
- 22 Byrd to represent me.
- 23 Q Did you in fact share any of the
- 24 Carbone fees with the Plaintiffs in this case?
- 25 A It would have been done pursuant to the



- 1 is that correct?
- 2 A My residence was moved to South
- 3 Carolina in February of 2021. I still have a
- 4 home in Atlanta on Green View and I have to -- I
- 5 am trying to maintain it, because when I go down
- 6 to deal with this litigation and the State Bar,
- 7 I don't want to go down and stay in a hotel
- 8 because I take my dog with me.
- 9 So the answer is Kimmy works remotely,
- 10 and then I will see her in person when I go to
- 11 Atlanta; and then she has made a couple of trips
- 12 to South Carolina. Tat is right after when I
- 13 first bought the property here.
- 14 O And you have invested with Kimmy's
- 15 husband in another business, is that correct?
- 16 A No.
- 17 Q No?
- 18 A No, I have no investments with Paul.
- 19 Q Okay. So for the most part your PC is
- 20 located in Atlanta, Georgia; but your permanent
- 21 residence and where you spend the majority of
- 22 your time is here in South Carolina, is that
- 23 correct?
- 24 A I spend the majority of my time here.
- 25 The PC still has an address in Atlanta. I have



- 1 left the corporation viable, although it is not
- 2 a viable entity any more financially; and I
- 3 don't have any cases that I am working on,
- 4 except my efforts to combat as Co-Counsel the
- 5 warfare that has been waged against me,
- 6 including this lawsuit.
- 7 O And when we look at the lawsuits listed
- 8 on Exhibit 6, Sandmann, Carbone, Lindsey,
- 9 Grogan -- let us leave Cordoba out for now -- in
- 10 each one there is an estimated fee recovery, and
- 11 is that because the majority of the work had
- 12 already been performed on those files?
- 13 A No. No.
- 14 Cordoba was as I recall the case that
- 15 Taylor Wilson brought in --
- 16 Q I don't want to talk about Cordoba
- 17 right now. I am asking A through E?
- 18 A You asked me about D and E.
- 19 Q No, I am asking you A through D.
- 20 Sandmann --
- 21 A Grogan -- I don't know -- no, I don't
- 22 believe Grogan had all the work done on it at
- 23 all. I thought it was relatively a new case.
- Q But we know that Carbone was done
- 25 because the payment came eight days later.



- 1 consummated.
- 2 Q Correct.
- 3 A I don't know if it had been documented,
- 4 because Todd McMurtry handled that part of the
- 5 case. It had been envisioned at one point that
- 6 I was going to ask Taylor to work with Todd on
- 7 it and I don't think that worked out. Either I
- 8 just changed my mind or things got to a point
- 9 where it didn't matter because we got it
- 10 settled.
- 11 Q A minute ago you said these people were
- 12 extorting me.
- Do you believe that there was in fact
- 14 extortion committed by the Plaintiffs?
- 15 A I believe they extorted me into the
- 16 agreement of March 17th, because they were
- 17 interfering with my relationship with my
- 18 children. That is documented. You don't do
- 19 that to me.
- They were threatening me with their
- 21 accusations, false about my mental health. They
- 22 were threatening my efforts for Richard Jewell
- 23 to have President Trump award him posthumously
- 24 the Presidential Medal of Freedom.
- 25 And their baseless allegations also



- 1 threatened what I was doing in an ongoing effort
- 2 for Nicholas Sandmann and they knew it.
- 3 And I think they used that leverage and
- 4 it worked. I gave in, but I felt extorted.
- 5 Then I believe clearly without any doubt in my
- 6 mind it is my opinion they extorted me or tried
- 7 to extort me with respect to the demand that you
- 8 made when you sent over that incredibly
- 9 scandalous, irrelevant, impertinent Complaint
- 10 that they were determined to file, so they could
- 11 smear my name and they did it.
- 12 They knew I would never agree to the
- 13 extortion terms that were presented to me when
- 14 you sent that over to Joey Burby. They wanted
- 15 to smear me. I think that why they involved
- 16 David Hancock.
- 17 Q So it is your belief that the first act
- 18 of criminal extortion or the crime of extortion
- 19 occurred by the Plaintiffs in the March 17th
- 20 agreement, Settlement Agreement, is that
- 21 correct?
- MR. HARRISON: Objection to the
- 23 form.
- 24 You can answer.
- 25 THE WITNESS: I believe that they



- 1 Alston & Byrd, because they prepared with me the
- 2 press release that was issued after the lawsuit
- 3 was filed; and they assisted me in editing it,
- 4 and it contained the fact that I said that they
- 5 were trying to extort me through litigation. I
- 6 wasn't going to get extorted.
- 7 So the first accusation or description
- 8 or my opinion I would say about extortion was in
- 9 the press release that I issued back in
- 10 September of 2020. They didn't say anything
- 11 about it.
- 12 And then they filed their lawsuit and
- 13 published to the world that I had said to Dexter
- 14 Cain that they were extorting. That I had said
- 15 to one of the Co-Counsel in the class action
- 16 case that they were extorting me. They put that
- 17 out for the world to read. They published it
- 18 themselves. I didn't. But that is the way I
- 19 felt, because I think I am right. I think my
- 20 opinion is solid.
- 21 O To whom -- to what Law Enforcement
- 22 agencies did you report this extortion or
- 23 attempted extortion?
- 24 A I didn't -- I didn't -- I didn't have
- 25 the opinion it was extortion to have these



- 1 people put in jail for it. But I described what
- 2 they had done, because I believed then, I
- 3 believe now that it was extortion; but I wasn't
- 4 here to put anybody in jail.
- 5 Q But you believe it was the crime of
- 6 extortion, but you did not want to put them in
- 7 jail for it?
- 8 A I believed that they extorted me and I
- 9 made finally a decision in I believe May of 2021
- 10 when I was then representing myself in this
- 11 case, when I had joined as Co-Counsel when Burby
- 12 had left, I felt like as a lawyer when I was
- 13 getting blasted up there in South Carolina, in
- 14 large part based on their lawsuit, that I had
- 15 not only a right, but under the law I had a duty
- 16 as my own lawyer to defend myself in the Court
- 17 of public opinion and that is when I posted on
- 18 Telegram. And that is when I described what
- 19 they had done as extortion. That is my opinion.
- 20 It was then. It is now and it hasn't changed.
- 21 Q Did you believe that you had a duty to
- 22 report the crime of extortion to any Bar
- 23 Association?
- 24 A Well, it was on Telegram; and I think I
- 25 made some reference to it. The Bar had



- 1 Q Okay. In Exhibit 7 you wrote this on
- 2 February 22, 2020, is that correct?
- 3 A 2:40 a.m., yes. It looks like I wrote
- 4 it that morning.
- 5 Q So that is five days after you entered
- 6 into the February 17th agreement with Taylor
- 7 about fee splits, is that correct?
- 8 A It was after I had -- we had come to --
- 9 extorted agreement -- you didn't hear what I
- 10 said, so let me make sure you understand.
- 11 O You are under cross-examination, so I
- 12 need a --
- 13 A I am going to answer it. If I am not
- 14 allowed to --
- 15 Q Yes or no and then you can explain
- 16 whatever you would like to. This Email was
- 17 written five days?
- 18 A That is clearly yes, you can do the
- 19 math.
- 20 Q Okay, good.
- 21 A The answer is yes, but go back and
- 22 understand I was extorted when I gave them that
- 23 agreement on the 17th.
- 24 And I was kind of playing with them.
- 25 When I said well, tell me what you think is



- 1 fair. 35 percent, the same thing we got with
- 2 Ramsey. I said I will tell you what, I will
- 3 give you 50 percent, do you think that is fair?
- I was not actually of the mind to give
- 5 them a dime at that time. I was playing with
- 6 them a little bit to see what they would do.
- 7 And they went oh, yeah, yeah, we will take the
- 8 50 because they are greedy.
- 9 And then when I got back and dealt with
- 10 Joey Burby and Chris Marquardt, I said just go
- 11 ahead and let us divide it the way I said on
- 12 February 17th, because I did say it even though
- 13 it was not done with the mind set that they
- 14 deserved it and I wanted to give it to them, I
- 15 would live up to my word and give them
- 16 50 percent; and that is what got into the final
- 17 agreement.
- 18 Q Okay. And so when you entered into the
- 19 agreement with Taylor on February 17th you were,
- 20 to use your words, sort of playing with them.
- 21 You didn't plan on giving those percentages.
- 22 You were thinking more in line of what you said
- 23 here five days later to Todd McMurtry on
- 24 February 22nd, Exhibit 7?
- 25 A No.



- 1 Q When you say their foolishness, would
- 2 that be what you are describing here on
- 3 paragraph 2 on page 2 of Exhibit 7: That alone
- 4 would cut off their ability to finance and
- 5 publicize their BS claims against me.
- 6 A No. That was a part of it.
- 7 The foolishness that I was being faced
- 8 with were their efforts in dealing with my
- 9 children claiming that I needed to agree to
- 10 undergo regular mental healthcare treatment.
- 11 They were interfering with my relationship with
- 12 my children and their discussions, whether they
- 13 were by text or Email or by phone were subject
- 14 to being discovered by the media, there is no
- 15 privacy. And that threatened my efforts with
- 16 respect to the ongoing representation of
- 17 Nicholas Sandmann. It threatened my efforts,
- 18 which they were well aware of that I had been
- 19 making to try to have President Trump to give
- 20 Richard Jewell the Presidential Medal of
- 21 freedom. I am not sure when he asked me to meet
- 22 with him, but I met with him on March 11th, so
- 23 it may have been that I already had the meeting
- 24 date at the time when I was writing this.
- I wanted this to stop.



- 1 Q All right.
- 2 A And I wanted it to stop because it was
- 3 hurting my relationship with my children. It
- 4 was threatening my efforts for Richard. It was
- 5 threatening my potential efforts going forward
- 6 for Nicholas Sandmann.
- 7 And so having seen they are only going
- 8 to pay quantum meruit, I said make it clear to
- 9 them and I thought that might bring them to
- 10 their senses; and also prevent them from
- 11 thinking they were going to stick me with
- 12 \$285,000 of their lease, their liability on the
- 13 lease.
- 14 O So when you say cut off their ability
- 15 to finance and publicize their claims against
- 16 you, that was only one of the desires you had,
- 17 and the others were to have them stop
- 18 interfering or having some relationship with
- 19 your children and making statements about your
- 20 mental health, is that right?
- 21 A No, those are your words. I told you
- 22 my words.
- 23 Q All right --
- 24 A Hold on a second. I documented that I
- 25 was right when I saw the text messages between



- 1 my son Matt and Taylor Wilson with respect to
- 2 Dr. Phil McGraw. I know what happened with
- 3 Dr. Phil McGraw. I know how the jury got
- 4 rigged, and I know who was involved in it.
- 5 Q So how would a lack of money prevent
- 6 the Plaintiffs from talking to your children?
- 7 A That we come to an agreement. If they
- 8 realized they weren't going to have their big
- 9 payday, which they did not earn. I bet their
- 10 quantum meruit effort in the case was probably
- 11 not 150,000. If they were not going to get the
- 12 847 or whatever the deal was where they could
- 13 pay what they owed on the lease, and then have a
- 14 bonanza from the fee they didn't earn based on
- 15 quantum meruit. I thought it was something that
- 16 would make them realize the foolishness of their
- 17 ways.
- 18 O And that would make them not contact
- 19 your children or question your mental health?
- 20 A Listen, I cannot -- my mental health
- 21 was fine then, and they knew it; and that is why
- 22 they admitted it in March.
- I couldn't stop somebody from
- 24 contacting my children, but let me say this to
- 25 you, Drew, I am a nice guy. I am not an angry



- 1 man; but if you mess with my children and my
- 2 relationship I am hot blooded.
- If you mess with Richard Jewell, I am
- 4 hot blooded, just like I am if you mess with my
- 5 puppies I am hot blooded.
- These people were engaged in subverting
- 7 my relationship with my children. Read the
- 8 bible --
- 9 MR. BEAL: Real quickly --
- 10 THE WITNESS: Wait a minute. This
- was the threat they were making. God's
- 12 commandment, honor thy father and thy
- mother is the only commandment that
- 14 comes with a promise. Honor thy father
- and thy mother so that thy days can be
- 16 long on this earth.
- 17 BY MR. BEAL:
- 18 O All right --
- 19 A God could take them out for not
- 20 honoring their mother and father. If you know
- 21 God and you read the bible. They were not only
- threatening my relationship with my children,
- 23 but other God's commandment they were putting my
- 24 children at risk; so I was not happy with them.
- 25 I am not happy with them now for doing it; but I



71

- 1 forgive them. I love them. I want to move on 2 from all the nonsense with them even today. So while we are talking about the 3 commandments this also would have allowed you to 4 5 pocket their \$843,000 too? 6 It wasn't theirs until there was an 7 agreement. 8 MR. HARRISON: Object to the form. BY MR. BEAL: 9 10 So you would get all the money? Q 11 If we had not -- if I had not made the deal in March -- if I had not made the deal in 12 13 March, they would have had to sue me for quantum 14 meruit, me, seeking their recovery because there 15 was no written division. 16 So they would have had to sue me for 17 quantum meruit. My guess is is at best they 18 could have maybe come up with \$150,000 in time; 19 and then they would have gotten the \$150,000. 20 So in this second paragraph you talk 21 about that the money might be put in an escrow 22 account pending final resolution of the disputes
- 24 That never happened, did it?

between you and WGW.

25 A It didn't happen because that is not



- 1 and see what we can do here.
- 2 I understand.
- 3 BY MR. BEAL:
- 4 Q The statement at the top of page 2 of
- 5 Exhibit 9 where Todd McMurtry promises to put
- the money in escrow absent an agreement, that
- 7 didn't happen, did it?
- 8 A That was Todd's decision, not mine.
- 9 Q So what is the answer to my question?
- 10 To the best of your knowledge?
- 11 A To the best of my knowledge he did not
- 12 do that.
- 13 Q And in fact you got paid, is that
- 14 correct?
- 15 A I received the L. Lin Wood, P.C. share
- of the fees and the expenses.
- 17 (Whereupon, Plaintiff's Exhibit
- 18 Number 10 was marked for
- 19 identification.)
- 20 BY MR. BEAL:
- 21 Q Let me hand you Exhibit 10.
- 22 A Okay.
- 23 O Okay. So does Exhibit 10 reflect
- 24 another February 22nd Email from you to Todd
- 25 McMurtry regarding division of fees in the



1	Sandmann	versus	CNN	case?
_		V CI D GD	C1111	Cabe.

- 2 A It is a true and correct copy of the
- 3 Email that I sent to Mr. McMurtry, and I think
- 4 it speaks for itself.
- 5 Q So then in the fourth paragraph here
- 6 you state: There was no oral or written
- 7 agreement between me and any of those lawyers
- 8 concerning my share of my firm's fee in the CNN
- 9 case.
- 10 A That is true.
- 11 O Did you consider that to be a truthful
- 12 statement in light of your February 17th
- 13 agreement and Email confirming that agreement
- 14 with Taylor Wilson?
- 15 A I believe it was absolutely consistent.
- 16 There was no oral or written agreement between
- 17 me and any of those lawyers concerning any share
- 18 of my firm's fee in the CNN case before the case
- 19 settled.
- 20 And then the issue arose after they had
- 21 themselves left any relationship with me on the
- 22 14th of February; and I told you that I did have
- 23 that conversation as it related to what I was
- 24 willing to say at the time on dividing the fees.
- 25 But there were other issues that connected into



- 1 THE WITNESS: I have sent you the
- 2 lease?
- 3 BY MR. BEAL:
- 4 Q Yes, it is that paragraph, the third
- 5 line down.
- 6 MR. HARRISON: Okay.
- 7 BY MR. BEAL:
- 8 Q "I am not concerned about money. I am
- 9 only concerned about clearing my slate in order
- 10 to pursue the Sandmann litigation and the
- opportunities possibly presented by my scheduled
- 12 meeting in D.C."
- 13 A Okay.
- 14 0 My question to you is, if you are not
- 15 concerned about the money, but only clearing
- 16 your slate in order to pursue other litigation
- 17 and opportunities, why did you contest paying
- 18 the amount that you had agreed to in the
- 19 preceding paragraph?
- 20 A Okay. Number 1, I didn't contest it.
- 21 I entered into the agreement on March the 17th,
- 22 and it said 50 percent would go to Wade Grunberg
- 23 and Wilson's PC's. So I didn't contest it. I
- 24 lived up to ti.
- When I say I am not concerned about



1	money, what I mean by that is that I don't love
2	money. The love of money is the root of all
3	evil. I need money, but God will provide me
4	what I need. So I don't fight over money.
5	But I wanted to get their foolishness
6	out of the way because it was jeopardizing not
7	only my family's relationship with me, but what
8	I had to do going forward in the Sandmann
9	litigation and the other cases; and the
10	opportunities that might possibly be presented
11	by meeting in Washington, D.C. at the Oval
12	Office requested by President Trump. That is
13	what I was referring to. I had a meeting on
14	March 11 in the Oval Office at his request.
15	Q Would it be fair to say that the money
16	was important to you because you took all of the
17	funds?
18	MR. HARRISON: Object to the form.
19	THE WITNESS: No, I took the funds
20	subject to the payment pursuant to the
21	March 17th agreement. If the issue of
22	consent had never come up, if it had
23	proceeded to the probate judge; and he
24	said here is where you are going to pay
25	and who you are going to pay, I was



- 1 not regularly record their time?
- 2 A I didn't believe that they did, but
- 3 they did keep it up in certain cases.
- 4 So I don't know for a fact whether they
- 5 did in those cases or not. You have indicated
- 6 that they did reconstruct it in Sandmann, so I
- 7 was wrong on that.
- 8 But that was my belief at the time.
- 9 All of this predates my engagement -- this is
- 10 March the 3rd, and this is when I realized I
- 11 needed to get somebody to represent me.
- 12 Q I am only asking about recording time?
- 13 A And I have told you that I believed
- 14 they did not, but I knew that in other instances
- 15 they did. So my belief is either right or
- 16 wrong.
- 17 Q And you were saying here that they
- 18 didn't?
- 19 A I didn't believe that they did.
- 20 Q Okay.
- 21 A But I didn't know it, and I found out
- 22 later that they did keep up with their time in
- 23 Sandmann when you said they had a wealth of
- 24 documentation of their time.
- Q Would it be fair to say that the three



- 1 Plaintiffs performed the majority of work on
- 2 creating Pleadings and correspondence and
- 3 responding to correspondence and Pleadings in
- 4 the CNN versus Sandmann case?
- 5 A I don't know that I can quantitate it
- 6 that way.
- 7 Did they do what they had always done
- 8 for me in terms of drafting Pleadings, doing
- 9 legal research, preparing motions, they had also
- 10 looked into all of the body of what was said
- 11 about Nicholas, not just related to CNN; and
- 12 then Todd cut that off because he got a firm to
- 13 do it.
- 14 So they did what they did. I
- 15 appreciated their efforts. I acknowledged what
- 16 they did; and then we got into this dispute
- 17 which I settled with them on March 17th of 2020.
- 18 Q Can you name any Pleadings that you
- 19 drafted completely on your own?
- 20 A I wouldn't do that. I didn't -- I have
- 21 been practicing law for how long. I don't go
- 22 out and have not since they worked with me, I do
- 23 not generate the first iteration of a Brief or a
- 24 Pleading. That is what they are getting paid to
- 25 do.



- 1 So they would bring it to me. I would
- 2 give them input, advice. I might as we say --
- 3 Nicole will tell you I would Wood-ize it. I did
- 4 the preparation of it initially as an Associate
- 5 and a young lawyer. I didn't do it after
- 6 40 years of practicing law.
- 7 O So then all of the Pleadings that were
- 8 created in the Sandmann versus CNN case were
- 9 initially drafted by the Plaintiffs in this
- 10 case?
- 11 A I don't know that, because I don't know
- 12 if Todd did some of it. But everything that was
- 13 drafted would have been under my direction and
- 14 my input, because I was the one that shaped the
- 15 issues for the case in how it was going to be
- 16 proceeding. I had the expertise in defamation.
- 17 They did not.
- 18 O So all of the Pleadings that came out
- 19 of your office would have been drafted by them
- 20 at your direction and with your input, is that
- 21 correct?
- 22 A No, I know one time we had a problem
- 23 that came up, and I had to basically to rewrite
- 24 the Brief. So I can't say all of it.
- 25 But I am not trying to tell you they



- 1 did not do it. They did. That is why I had
- 2 them engaged. If I wanted to do that, I
- 3 wouldn't have needed them.
- 4 Q And was a large volume of work in CNN
- 5 versus Sandmann --
- 6 A CNN and Sandmann settled quickly. So
- 7 on the scale of things they could have been --
- 8 that litigation could have gone on for five
- 9 years. So whether it is a large volume or not
- 10 is not really capable of saying it. It is what
- 11 it is. They did what they did.
- 12 Q The --
- 13 A And I was going to pay them for it.
- 14 (Whereupon, Plaintiff's Exhibit
- Number 12 was marked for
- identification.)
- 17 BY MR. BEAL:
- 18 O And is Exhibit 12 the March 17th
- 19 Settlement Agreement that you have referenced
- 20 earlier?
- 21 A Yes.
- 22 Q And does it refer to the same cases as
- in the February 17th agreement, Carbone,
- Lindsey, Sandmann, Grogan Cordoba and then add
- 25 in La Liberte?



- 1 Q Can you identify every act that you
- 2 contend constituted extortion or attempts at
- 3 extortion?
- 4 A Honestly, I can take the time to
- 5 catalog every act, but the acts are pretty
- 6 simple.
- 7 Q What are they?
- 8 A Number one, remember the back drop. I
- 9 believe there was a pattern of extortion with
- 10 respect to the March 17th agreement. So they
- 11 had a pattern of extorting and making claims
- 12 that threatened me unrelated to the litigation
- 13 with my children, Richard Jewell, the
- 14 Sandmann's; and my efforts for Richard were very
- 15 important to me.
- So I felt extorted into that agreement.
- 17 Candidly I wished I had never made it; but I did
- 18 what I did. I was going to live up to it.
- 19 Then in September out of the blue,
- 20 nobody sued me when I said extortion in the
- 21 press release. When they put in their own
- 22 Complaint in September and they said that I
- 23 told -- it is Dexter King that they were
- 24 extorting me, they put that in their Complaint.
- 25 Then they put in their Complaint that I



1	told a co-Counsel of mine on one of the class
2	action cases they were extorting me. They
3	published my statements themselves in their
4	Complaint.
5	So then they come up with this new
6	lawsuit. They sue me for breach of contract. I
7	didn't breach the contract. I asked the boy to
8	consent. Then they sued me for fraud in the
9	inducement hang on, you want to know now
10	you don't want me to answer
11	MR. BEAL: Hang on. I know, but I
12	am getting confused. Can you list out
13	what acts constituted your sort of
14	THE WITNESS: I am.
15	MR. HARRISON: We have been over
16	this. You said that you don't want him
17	to give long answers, but you asked him
18	the specific acts that he said
19	constituted extortion in the context,
20	and he has answered those
21	MR. BEAL: I just got confused
22	about some of the last one's because he
23	was speaking about other people's
24	actions. So let's go to the first
25	one



1	explanation of how anybody says it is not.
2	MR. BEAL: Can you look through
3	there and find me Exhibit 12?
4	MR. HARRISON: Uh-huh.
5	MR. BEAL: Thanks.
6	BY MR. BEAL:
7	Q So going back to your statements, the
8	first act of extortion you believe was a pattern
9	of extortion surrounding the March 17th
10	Settlement Agreement which is marked as
11	Exhibit 12?
12	A I wouldn't call that the first act of
13	extortion. What I called it is what I called
14	it.
15	I thought that what they did leading up
16	to the March 17th agreement established a
17	pattern of extortion, because they were trying
18	to get money that they had not earned. They
19	were trying to coerce me into giving them more
20	than they deserved under the threat of a
21	continued attack in my relationship with my
22	children, my efforts ongoing for Nicholas
23	Sandmann, and to jeopardize my efforts to try to
24	ask the President to give Richard Jewell the
25	presidential Medal of Freedom.



Т	And just generally the idea of saying
2	these false things about my mental health, which
3	they documented were false in the March 17th
4	agreement, I thought that showed extortion; but
5	I paid it, I paid it. I agreed to it. I wish I
6	hadn't. I should have stood on my principles
7	instead of my preference, I wanted peace. I
8	should have stood on my principles.
9	And then all of a sudden I am hit with
10	your lawsuit to pay within a day 1.5 million or
11	we are going to file this thing and smear
12	Q I want to talk about March. Let's
13	not
14	A Okay, well, I have covered March.
15	Q Would it be fair to say that a
16	culmination of this pattern of extortion you
17	have identified, it culminated in the March 17th
18	Settlement Agreement?
19	MR. HARRISON: Object to the form.
20	You can answer.
21	THE WITNESS: What I said was that
22	when I looked at what you did in
23	September of 2020, I recognized then as
24	I had recognized earlier that they had
25	extorted me into the March 17th



1	agreement, but I went ahead and made it
2	and I felt extorted. That was my
3	opinion then. And then all of a sudden
4	I get extorted again.
5	BY MR. BEAL:
6	Q So you were represented when you signed
7	the March 17th Settlement Agreement, right?
8	A Absolutely, Joey Burby and you
9	negotiated it.
10	Q And do you have a single writing that
11	you can point to where any of the Plaintiffs
12	threatened to take any action with regard to
13	your children or your mental health condition?
14	A I have already pointed you to the
15	confirmatory text there is more, where it was
L 6	clear that Taylor Wilson was conspiring with my
17	son Matt Wood to have Dr. Phil McGraw conduct a
18	mental health intervention on me, but I caught
19	it. I caught it in time because I knew what
20	they were up to, and I told Phil McGraw don't
21	come out to Atlanta, Georgia and mess with my
22	relationship with my children, because it won't
23	end well for you; and he did not.
24	He Emailed my son and said your father
25	is a genius, he is the finest lawyer I have ever



- 1 met. He can get all the facts wrong and still
- 2 come up with the perfect resolution. That Email
- 3 is now missing out of my system.
- 4 But nevertheless put yourself in my
- 5 position, I know it is hard for you to do, but
- 6 try I am trying my best to get Richard Jewell a
- 7 recognition that Richard Jewell deserved. I am
- 8 trying my best to represent the Sandmann family.
- 9 I want to do the Sandmann cases and then retire;
- 10 and I am always trying to do my best to maintain
- 11 a good, healthy relationship with my children;
- 12 and these people are threatening all of that.
- 13 If I don't give them money that they really
- 14 under the law did not deserve, but I ended up
- 15 making the agreement in March 17th; and then I
- 16 lived up to it. Did you see how many cases I
- 17 sent them? You still haven't told me how much
- 18 money they made on it.
- 19 Q Can I ask you if there was a
- 20 specific -- if you can point to any act or
- 21 threat by any of the Plaintiffs with regard to
- 22 Nicholas Sandmann or Nicholas Sandmann's claims
- 23 or cases?
- 24 A I don't know how many Email's there
- 25 were at the time. I haven't gone back and



- 1 looked, but the discussions leading up to
- 2 ultimately the March 17th agreement would be
- 3 part of what I believed to be acts of extortion
- 4 until I finally agreed to it.
- 5 The only thing that came up after that
- 6 in terms of extortion is when you tried to
- 7 extort me by telling me to pay them \$1.5 million
- 8 or you are going to file this frivolous, heinous
- 9 complaint against me within 24 hours. There is
- 10 your document.
- 11 Q Was there any threat by any of the
- 12 Plaintiffs to interfere with your relationship
- 13 with Richard Jewell?
- 14 A They knew that I was doing my best,
- 15 publicly advocate for Richard Jewell to receive
- 16 the Presidential Medal of Freedom, that was
- 17 well-known to them.
- 18 The idea that they were out talking to
- 19 people, and you don't know where it stops,
- 20 suggesting that Richard Jewell's lawyer was in
- 21 need of mental healthcare treatment, well I
- 22 don't think President Trump would have been so
- 23 fond of thinking about meeting with me to talk
- 24 about Richard; but yet despite the accusations
- 25 he met with me.



- 1 Q But you don't have any evidence of any
- 2 conversations by the Plaintiffs with any parties
- 3 regarding mental health besides what you just
- 4 identified as a conversation with your son Matt
- 5 and --
- 6 A Dr. Phil.
- 7 Q -- And possibly a conversation with
- 8 Dr. Phil?
- 9 A I think it is more than a conversation
- 10 with Dr. Phil.
- 11 Q And Dr. Phil was -- had previously been
- 12 your client, is that correct?
- 13 A He was.
- 14 Q Okay.
- 15 A He is not now.
- 16 Q And --
- 17 A Are you going to break for lunch?
- 18 Q I kind of want to power through and be
- 19 done.
- 20 A That is not fair to anybody. I need at
- 21 least 15, 20 minutes to get a sandwich.
- MR. HARRISON: How long do you
- 23 think you have?
- 24 And let me offer this while you
- 25 are thinking about it. Are you going



- 1 Q So you have referenced in prior
- 2 testimony computer hacking.
- 3 Do you believe that the Plaintiffs have
- 4 hacked into your computers or your Email's?
- 5 A I believed at the time that I learned
- 6 that my computer was hacked, and it was hacked.
- 7 The whole file system was out of whack. I had
- 8 it investigated. It was hacked.
- 9 I also believed that my phone system
- 10 had been hacked. I think that was done through
- 11 my Wi-Fi system in my house, so I documented the
- 12 hacking.
- I felt like that there might have been
- 14 an effort by Johnathan Taylor and/or Nicole,
- 15 because she is close with Rick Miller to go in
- 16 and perhaps remove certain documents that were
- 17 related to Rick Miller.
- 18 When I first went in I couldn't find
- 19 the documents to confirm the hack. I filed a
- 20 complaint with the FBI.
- 21 Then we went back and I found the
- 22 documents that I thought might have been hacked
- 23 out, and I wrote them and apologized.
- 24 But the problem is I still think now
- 25 that I was wrong about what was being looked



- 1 for, but I was right about what was done; and I
- 2 think it related to Dr. Phil McGraw.
- 3 O So here is my question real
- 4 specifically, do you believe the Plaintiffs
- 5 hacked your computers or participated in the
- 6 hacking of your computers?
- 7 A I have no way to know who hacked it,
- 8 but I thought that they had a motivation to hack
- 9 it, I still do, or to have someone hack it.
- 10 I know there was a day where I came in
- 11 and turned on my Email, I thought it was a
- 12 Sunday; and there was an Email being forwarded
- 13 to Taylor, not a complete Email address, and I
- 14 stopped it. And then for that day it kept
- 15 trying to send it bouncing back, because when I
- 16 stopped it it hadn't gotten to a full Email
- 17 address. That led me to believe that may be
- 18 effort for someone to mess with the Microsoft
- 19 360, Johnathan is familiar with it.
- I don't know, but I know that my
- 21 computer was hacked. I know my phone was
- 22 hacked, and I believe they had motivation to at
- 23 least know it or be involved in it.
- 24 Q Did you believe --
- 25 A But when I found out it was not Rick



- 1 Miller documents, I wrote them and said I am
- 2 sorry. I jumped the gun.
- 3 But then I found out about confirmatory
- 4 evidence on Dr. Phil; and I am convinced beyond
- 5 any doubt in my mind that these lawyers to some
- 6 extent were involved in the Elon Musk case to
- 7 sabotage and rig the jury.
- 8 Q Okay, I want to ask that before we take
- 9 a break.
- 10 A Sure.
- 11 Q So summing up on hacking, do you
- 12 believe the Plaintiffs were involved or not
- 13 involved as you sit here today?
- 14 A My belief is just what I said. They
- 15 had motivation to be involved. The whole
- 16 Dr. Phil thing stinks.
- 17 Q Do you believe that Dr. Phil was
- 18 involved in computer hacking?
- 19 A I don't think Dr. Phil --- I don't know
- 20 if he knows how to hack a computer. But I think
- 21 I know enough about Dr. Phil and what happened
- 22 with Tara Trask and Chris Chatham, that I have
- 23 serious concerns. I know the jury was rigged
- 24 and I started to investigate it --
- 25 O Now --



- 1 A And my son Matt went ballistic, because
- 2 he didn't want to give me any information.
- 3 MR. HARRISON: Okay.
- 4 BY MR. BEAL:
- 5 Q So we have transitioned from hacking to
- 6 jury tampering?
- 7 A No.
- 8 O Or is this part of hacking?
- 9 A I will tell you.
- 10 Q All right.
- 11 A You are asking me if I know who hacked
- me, I do not; but I have certain suspicions.
- 13 Q All right. So --
- 14 A When I said that about jury rigging, I
- don't know who did what, when and where; but I
- 16 have certain suspicions based on facts that I am
- 17 aware of.
- 18 BY MR. BEAL:
- 19 Q All right. So and the jury tampering
- 20 issue, do you believe the Plaintiffs were
- 21 involved somehow in tampering with the jury or
- 22 hurting your efforts in the representation of
- 23 Unsworth versus Elon Musk?
- 24 A You asked me two questions, let me
- 25 answer it this way. There was a noticeable



- 1 change in Johnathan Grunberg and Taylor Wilson's
- 2 treatment of me starting with the incident in
- 3 October, and by November if I hadn't had them to
- 4 help me, I would have thrown them out of my
- 5 office on the 21st floor. I had never seen
- 6 lawyers more rude, more abrasive, more
- 7 condescending, telling me I didn't know what I
- 8 was doing. They like changed day and night.
- 9 Q Okay.
- 10 A And so do I have concerns that that
- 11 relates to perhaps them having gotten
- 12 compromised to participate in sabotaging some
- 13 part of the Elon Musk case? I believe it does,
- 14 but I haven't taken any action yet.
- 15 Q Do you believe that the Plaintiffs were
- 16 involved in somehow sabotaging or working
- 17 against your efforts in the Unsworth versus Elon
- 18 Musk case?
- 19 A I know they were. I know they were
- 20 because they were trying to direct me to take an
- 21 issue in the case that was minuscule compared to
- 22 the main allegation of pedophilia that I now
- 23 know that issue was interjected by the
- 24 Mockingbird Media, so that we would spend time
- 25 on that and not time on what the main case was



- 1 about; and they were adamant that I needed to go
- 2 there, and it very much affected my ability to
- 3 prepare the case in an orderly fashion in the
- 4 manner that I thought it should be done, being
- 5 the most experienced, being the lawyer in
- 6 charge. And I have never let such opposition
- 7 and mistreatment from every one of them, not as
- 8 much Nicole. In fact, I told Nicole one day
- 9 when Johnathan and Taylor were in my office and
- 10 I looked at them and said I ought to sue every
- 11 damn one of you about what you said about mental
- 12 health.
- 13 And Nicole said I never said it, and I
- 14 said you are too smart to say it. And she sent
- 15 me a note later when she found out about my
- 16 children. And she knew how much that would hurt
- 17 me. And she said I love you no matter what
- 18 happens to our law firm. I will always be there
- 19 for you, and I appreciate that and I believe she
- 20 meant it.
- 21 Q So you believe the Plaintiffs were
- 22 deliberately taking steps to sabotage or hurt
- 23 your client in the Elon Musk litigation?
- 24 A I said what I said. I don't know it,
- 25 but I saw it --



- 1 Q But you believe it?
- 2 A Do you want me to answer or are you
- 3 going to answer it for me?
- 4 Q No, I am just trying to --
- 5 A Why don't you let me answer it.
- 6 Q All right.
- 7 A Because you don't know what you are
- 8 talking about. Only I can answer that question
- 9 with all due respect.
- 10 Q Okay. Go ahead.
- 11 A I have serious concerns based on the
- 12 totality of the circumstances that occurred and
- 13 the timing of those, I have serious concerns
- 14 that somehow my son, perhaps Johnathan and
- 15 Taylor perhaps were compromised and perhaps had
- 16 to do things that were not in the best interest
- 17 of Vernon Unsworth, although I have a lot of
- 18 thoughts on the Vernon Unworth's case, which we
- 19 don't need to go into today. I don't know what
- 20 this has to do with extortion, but I am happy to
- 21 talk to you about it.
- 22 0 Okay.
- 23 A Because I don't know what happened in
- 24 the Thai cave rescue. I know a lot more now
- 25 about child sex trafficking than I knew then. I



- 1 know a lot more now about how caves are used in
- 2 Thailand. I know a lot more now about
- 3 psychological operations.
- 4 MR. HARRISON: What I will ask
- 5 both of you to do is stick to the
- 6 allegations of the Complaint. This is
- 7 a defamation lawsuit, right, Drew?
- 8 MR. BEAL: Right.
- 9 BY MR. BEAL:
- 11 Counsel in the Vernon Unworth's case?
- 12 A I was.
- 13 Q Was there a time when you asked Taylor
- 14 to take over the lead Counsel role prior to
- 15 trial?
- 16 A I don't remember it. If I was going to
- 17 ask Taylor to take on lead Counsel, I wouldn't
- 18 have gone. I was always lead Counsel. We had a
- meeting the weekend before Thanksgiving, where
- 20 we had, I guess you would call it a come to
- 21 Jesus meeting, because the acrimony between
- 22 those lawyers and me and their disrespect and
- 23 their acting like they knew everything, and I
- 24 was some sort of a dummy in my case, with my
- 25 experience. I couldn't understand it.



- when they sued me for fraud and inducement,
- 2 which is in breach of the agreement.
- 4 today that Alston & Byrd committed
- 5 malpractice --
- 6 MR. HARRISON: Objection.
- 7 BY MR. BEAL:
- 8 Q -- In their representation of you?
- 9 A I don't know what that has to do with
- 10 this liable case. I have concerns in the two
- 11 areas that I have mentioned; I may have more.
- 12 But I have not acted on those.
- 13 But I do know that if it turns out that
- 14 L. Lin Wood, P.C., which is the only party that
- is responsible for the fee, if L. Lin Wood, P.C.
- is found liable, then I would look to Alston &
- 17 Byrd to indemnify me, because I relied on their
- advice, which they told you themselves in the
- 19 July or the July 24th letter.
- I don't want any more litigation. I
- 21 have more than I can afford now, and you are all
- 22 going to be litigating for nothing pretty soon,
- 23 because I am having to pay attorneys' fees; and
- 24 I know they are not.
- 25 Q And I believe you testified -- earlier



1	you testified that one act of extortion was the
2	demand that was made upon you in September of
3	2020 immediately prior to the filing of suit?
4	A That was I thought consistent with
5	extortion, yes.
6	Q And
7	A It made no sense. Why would you not
8	Q I just need you to
9	A I am going to answer the question
10	fully.
11	That was an act of extortion, part of
12	the extortion because the position that you all
13	took made no sense. You weren't looking to
14	resolve the matter. You were looking to sue it.
15	Q And it was the crime of extortion?
16	MR. HARRISON: Object to the form.
17	THE WITNESS: I call it extortion.
18	Whether you refer to it as a crime, it
19	is knowing. So I guess it would fall
20	within the category of knowing,
21	criminal extortion. I didn't act on it
22	in the sense of taking it to the
23	police. Just like
24	BY MR. BEAL:
25	Q Okay.



140

1	A I didn't I knew what had happened to
2	me. I was going to move forward. And then when
3	I got brutally attacked in South Carolina, I
4	made a decision as a lawyer for myself that I
5	needed to speak out publicly about it and so I
6	did. And I told the truth. I gave my honest
7	opinion.
8	MR. BEAL: I have to object.
9	THE WITNESS: I gave the truth and
10	gave my honest opinion. I don't lie.
11	BY MR. BEAL:
12	Q What is your understanding of the
13	elements of extortion?
14	MR. HARRISON: Object to the form.
15	You can answer.
16	THE WITNESS: I am not sitting
17	here with a law book in front of me,
18	but I think when you take acts that are
19	beyond what you are entitled to, to try
20	to get someone else coerced into doing
21	what they are not obligated to do for
22	you, that is extortion. It is in the
23	dictionary. People use the term all
24	the time.



25

A lot of people say the lawyer is

1	extorting you. It is a commonly used
2	term, especially when you are talking
3	about lawyers making demands on you.
4	And this one was not just a demand
5	to pay. If you had said here is the
6	breach of contract claim, we demand you
7	pay the 600-what-odd-thousand-dollars,
8	that would not be extortion. But when
9	you add all that other stuff in there,
10	and you made a \$1.5 million demand; and
11	you actually attacked my faith by
12	putting in your Complaint that I
13	thought I was all mighty God, what in
14	the world were you thinking?
15	BY MR. BEAL:
16	Q So your definition of extortion is
17	urging someone to make a payment to you that you
18	are not required to make?
19	MR. HARRISON: Object to the form.
20	THE WITNESS: Extortion comes in
21	many forms. But it is when somebody
22	inappropriately tries to exert leverage
23	or pressure on you for their own game,
24	that they are not entitled to.
25	So the extortion could be in the



1 f	orm o	of t	the	money	demand	, it	was
-----	-------	------	-----	-------	--------	------	-----

- 2 outrageous. It can't be justified. It
- 3 can be part of the fact that you are
- 4 only gave me 24 hours initially to
- 5 respond. What was the rush?
- 6 That you would refuse a reasonable
- 7 request that we arbitrated privately
- 8 with lawyers, binding arbitration.
- 9 And you added in so much stuff
- 10 that was intended clearly in my mind to
- 11 smear me and attack me for purposes
- 12 that had nothing to do with the dispute
- 13 on whether there was client consent
- 14 required.
- 15 And other things that were done,
- 16 if you say Lin, make this agreement or
- 17 we are going to continue to drive a
- 18 wedge between you and your children,
- 19 that is extortion.
- Lin, make this agreement or we are
- 21 going to continue to talk about your
- 22 mental health that might hurt you in
- 23 your Sandmann litigation or hurt you in
- 24 your efforts with Richard Jewell with
- 25 President Trump, in my view that is



- 1 extortion. That is my opinion.
- 2 BY MR. BEAL:
- 3 O So the September demand included
- 4 payment of fees on various cases?
- 5 A It included a lot more than that. In
- fact, nobody -- you have to explain how they
- 7 came up with the fees. But on top of that --
- 8 Q Can you just answer the question yes or
- 9 no. Did it include that or not?
- 10 A I don't know. Show it to me and I will
- 11 tell you what it included.
- 12 Q What was the Washington Post
- 13 settlement?
- 14 MR. HARRISON: You are asking him
- 15 the amount?
- MR. BEAL: Yes.
- 17 MR. HARRISON: Is it confidential?
- 18 THE WITNESS: It is confidential.
- 19 BY MR. BEAL:
- 20 Q Well, everything else is sealed in this
- 21 proceeding.
- 22 A Not in this case.
- 23 Q But it is part of our demand so.
- 24 A There is no seal order in this case.
- MR. HARRISON: Yeah, I am not



- 1 aware of anything under seal in this
- 2 case.
- 3 We have also asked for some
- 4 financial information and information
- 5 on referrals and fees earned so -- but
- 6 beside that I don't know if it is
- 7 confidential or not.
- 8 And you say it is then --
- 9 THE WITNESS: Oh yeah, it is
- 10 confidential but I don't mind telling
- 11 you at some point, but I want to make
- 12 sure I don't violate the agreement.
- MR. BEAL: Why don't we go off the
- 14 record.
- 15 THE WITNESS: That would require
- 16 that I get in touch with Todd McMurtry
- 17 to make sure he is okay with me telling
- 18 it. I mean it is not -- I don't mind
- 19 you knowing, but it is not something I
- 20 am allowed to say without some
- 21 protection in terms of ensuring that I
- 22 don't breach the agreement with
- 23 Nicholas and Todd may.
- 24 MR. HARRISON: I am happy to
- 25 discuss it with you to see if we find a



- 1 and what you and your clients attempted to do.
- 2 Q Let us direct your attention back to
- 3 March 17th on what you contend was a pattern of
- 4 extortion; and I am just going to ask you to
- 5 identify specifically the facts that you
- 6 contend; and I believe you referenced in general
- 7 terms communications with your children and some
- 8 complaints about mental health.
- 9 But I am asking you to identify
- 10 specifically what actions the Plaintiffs took
- 11 leading up to the March 17th Settlement
- 12 Agreement, the specific acts which constituted
- 13 extortion?
- 14 MR. HARRISON: So to be clear we
- 15 have covered this, but you are asking
- 16 him to answer it again?
- 17 MR. BEAL: Yes, I am asking for
- 18 the specific acts. I just need a list
- 19 of them.
- MR. HARRISON: And you are going
- 21 to let him give an answer?
- 22 MR. BEAL: I am all set.
- MR. HARRISON: Okay.
- 24 THE WITNESS: Well, number 1, I
- 25 have answered this question.



1	BY MR. BEAL:
2	Q Right.
3	A So I refer you and I would incorporate
4	the answer I previously gave you into what I say
5	now.
6	Q Okay.
7	A Which will be in addition potentially.
8	It is not a matter of acts. It is not
9	you act to extort. You can say something. You
10	can take a position. I know here that Johnathan
11	I believe was involved with Dr. Phil; certainly
12	Taylor Wilson was in trying to have Dr. Phil
13	come in and do a mental health intervention.
14	I remember Matt wrote him and said we
15	have got too much to lose without Dr. Phil, what
16	did they have to lose? They never even dealt
17	with Dr. Phil. I know what Dr. Phil was doing,
18	and they were involved in it, at least Taylor
19	was. And Johnathan was going around telling me
20	and my children confirming that somehow I needed
21	to go into regular mental healthcare, monthly
22	treatment. Johnathan even said you need to be
23	on Lithium. I ain't getting on Lithium. There
24	are people who need it.
25	And my son said the same thing a month

- 1 before, Lithium. It was a concerted effort to
- 2 try to attack me on my mental health. It is a
- 3 classic psychological operation. The State Bar
- 4 was part of it later. I am sorry my children
- 5 were involved. I think I know how they got
- 6 involved. Time will tell.
- 7 But that was one part of it. You got a
- 8 guy that is in your law office, I don't know who
- 9 else he is saying it to; but he is questioning
- 10 my mental health, where I have got clients, I
- 11 have got Richard Jewell and I have got my family
- 12 involved. What is he doing that for?
- 13 It was I said a pattern of extortion,
- 14 because I am sitting there going well, am I
- 15 going to shut this guy up by just getting rid of
- 16 him and paying him, or I am not going to let him
- 17 go our and continue doing this, have it get
- 18 worse, and have it impact my family more than it
- 19 already has, and my clients more than it already
- 20 has. I got five by Nicholas Sandmann, and then
- 21 obviously hopefully down the road when things
- 22 get right in this country, and I believe they
- 23 will in due time. Then I will be able to renew
- 24 my efforts with President Trump to get Richard
- 25 Jewell the Presidential Medal of Freedom.



- 1 Q So the first category of actions that
- 2 you referred to efforts is Johnathan and Taylor
- 3 contacting Dr. Phil seeking an intervention or
- 4 discussion about your mental health?
- 5 A I didn't say they contacted Dr. Phil
- 6 for that purpose. I know now that it is
- 7 documented that they were talking to Dr. Phil.
- 8 Q But at the time you didn't know --
- 9 A It was enough that just running around
- 10 saying it, period. They had no right to say it.
- 11 They had no medical training. They had no
- 12 psychological training. They were just making
- 13 it up and accusing me of something that was not
- 14 true, which they admitted in March 17th was not
- 15 true when they said I was mentally competent in
- 16 all respects.
- 17 Q Who were they saying it to that you are
- 18 aware of?
- 19 A I don't know. I know they were saying
- 20 it to my children. I know they were saying it
- 21 to each other. I know they were saying it to
- 22 me. I know that probably other people heard it.
- 23 I don't know who else they said it to.
- 24 Q How did you know they were saying it to
- 25 your kids?



- 1 A Because they told me. Johnathan and
- 2 Taylor told me they talked to them. There was
- 3 this big powwow where they were all concerned
- 4 about me. It was nonsense. They were making it
- 5 up out of whole cloth.
- 6 It is a typical psychological operation
- 7 to attack the target by attacking their mental
- 8 health. Study psychological operations.
- 9 It just didn't work because my mental
- 10 health is fine.
- 11 0 Okay.
- 12 Next, action, words, or series of
- 13 actions that constituted extortion by the
- 14 Plaintiffs leading up to this March 17th
- 15 agreement besides that whole category, is there
- 16 anything else?
- 17 A I have told you everything in my first
- 18 time I answered it. I think I have added some
- 19 more specifics in.
- It is just this simple, they were
- 21 threatening my family with their comments. They
- 22 were threatening my clients with their comments.
- 23 They were threatening Richard Jewell with their
- 24 comments; and their comments were fake. It was
- 25 false. They have admitted that themselves in



- 1 the March 17th Settlement Agreement.
- 2 And I think they were doing it to try
- 3 to pressure me into paying them more than they
- 4 deserved in a situation where they had made the
- 5 mistake of not getting an agreement on the fee
- 6 division before the Sandmann case settled.
- 7 Historically we always did. So I think they
- 8 were doing it to extort me, to force me to pay
- 9 them more than they deserve.
- 10 I gave in. I agreed to it in March
- 11 17th.
- 12 Q Okay, all right.
- 13 A And then Nicholas doesn't consent and
- 14 you saw the letter from --
- 15 Q Okay.
- 16 A From Chris Marquardt. And then the
- 17 next thing I know you send me this Complaint.
- 18 Q Now, we are getting onto something
- 19 else.
- 20 A You send me this Complaint. Shame on
- 21 you.
- Q We are talking about March 17.
- 23 A Questioning my faith in my children.
- 24 It is extortion.
- 25 Q And how do you know that the Plaintiffs



- were speaking to your clients about mental
- 2 health issues?
- 3 MR. HARRISON: Object to the form.
- 4 I don't think he said that.
- 5 MR. BEAL: I thought you said
- 6 saying it to me, saying it to my
- 7 clients.
- 8 THE WITNESS: They were saying it
- 9 to me.
- 10 BY MR. BEAL:
- 11 Q Okay.
- 12 A They were saying it to my children.
- 13 Q Okay.
- 14 A I don't know who else they were saying
- 15 it to.
- 16 Q Okay.
- 17 A But I have got concerns they may be
- 18 saying to it other people, or what they were
- 19 saying to the people they did say it to. It
- 20 could be leaked out into the public discussion.
- 21 I mean there is no privacy. Everything you say
- on your phone, your Email's, and your texts is
- 23 captured in the air. So you don't know who is
- 24 going to get it, and what they are going to do
- 25 with it.



Т	So you don't make baseless accusations
2	about somebody, because you don't know who is
3	going to get it and how they may try to use it
4	to hurt you. Study about cell phones and
5	Email's and texts, and how they are in the air
6	and they capture it, Palentir.
7	They shouldn't have been doing it, that
8	is my point.
9	(Whereupon, Plaintiff's Exhibit
10	Number 17 was marked for
11	identification.)
12	BY MR. BEAL:
13	Q Let me hand you what I will purport to
14	you was the Answer that you filed in this case,
15	which is Exhibit 17.
16	A I will accept your representation. It
17	is marked.
18	Q And can you grab the Complaint?
19	MR. HARRISON: 14?
20	MR. BEAL: Which is 14.
21	BY MR. BEAL:
22	Q And if you turn over to your Answer
23	number 36.
24	MR. HARRISON: The Answer is 17,
25	is that right?



- 1 Q And is it true that the statement there
- 2 in paragraph 46 that you have not disclosed the
- 3 amount of the recovery in the Sandmann versus
- 4 Washington Post?
- 5 A I never received a demand or a request
- 6 for that amount. And that would have been an
- 7 event that occurred after Joey Burby and Chris
- 8 Marguardt were involved and you were involved;
- 9 and I don't know whether Joey and Chris got a
- 10 demand or a request from you about that or not.
- 11 I don't think they did.
- 12 Q Can we refer over to paragraph 49; and
- 13 there is a text embedded there in paragraph 49.
- 14 Can you tell us who that text was being
- 15 sent to?
- 16 A It was not sent to -- it was not
- 17 intended to be sent to Johnathan. And sitting
- 18 here today -- I mean what is the date of the
- 19 text?
- 20 I don't see a date. So I don't know
- 21 who that I am intending to send it to. It would
- 22 probably be better if I knew the time that I
- 23 sent it. I was dealing with issues about my
- 24 computer being hacked.
- 25 MR. HARRISON: Lin, I believe it



- 1 Q But you believed that you have the
- 2 right to pursue criminal action against the
- 3 Plaintiffs?
- 4 A I could go -- yeah, I believe under the
- 5 facts that I could go out and sign a warrant for
- 6 having them try to criminally extort me, but
- 7 what is that going to do?
- 8 Q So in paragraph 105 on the next page
- 9 you refer to the filing a grievance against the
- 10 Plaintiffs with the State Bar of Georgia.
- 11 Did you in fact file a grievance or
- 12 complaint with the State Bar of Georgia against
- 13 any of the Plaintiffs regarding your belief --
- 14 regarding extortion?
- 15 A I believe so.
- 16 Q What was Nicole Wade doing during all
- 17 of this dispute where you believe leading up to
- 18 March 17th on Taylor and Johnathan were
- 19 contacting your children improperly --
- 20 A I said they were talking with them. I
- 21 don't know who initiated the contacts.
- 22 Q But was Nicole a part of any of that in
- 23 your belief?
- 24 A My recollection, and I have a very
- 25 vivid recollection of having Johnathan and



- 1 Taylor in my office standing; and Nicole was
- 2 sitting in the chair and this was after all this
- 3 bizarre change of treatment of me that started
- 4 late October and was full blown in November.
- 5 And I remember looking at them at some point and
- 6 I said I ought to sue every damn one of you for
- 7 defamation for running around and running your
- 8 mouth and making an accusation about my mental
- 9 health. And Nicole quickly said I have never
- 10 said that. And I said to her right, you are too
- 11 smart to have done that. These two people are
- 12 not.
- 13 Q So --
- 14 A I also remember, and I think I sent
- 15 it -- Nicole sent me and -- I think it -- I have
- 16 to go back and look, but I believe that it was
- 17 right around -- well, it was January for sure
- 18 and it could have been very early February, and
- 19 she said I just found out about the problems you
- 20 are having with your family. I know -- because
- 21 she knows how much I love my children and they
- 22 love me -- I said I know that tears you apart.
- 23 It did. It still does.
- 24 And then she said words to the effect,
- 25 that I still love you or I will always love you



- 1 no matter what happens in terms of how we
- 2 practice law in the future; and I believed her.
- 3 And I said today I believe her now. I think
- 4 Nicole Wade does love me. Her love for me over
- 5 the years is legitimate as is mine for her. I
- 6 think Johnathan loves me. I think Taylor loves
- 7 me. I love them.
- 8 But she was not in the middle of what
- 9 was going on in December. I don't remember if
- 10 it was because she wasn't there. I don't
- 11 recall.
- But she was not one of the people who
- 13 was being so abusive to me and contradicting me
- 14 and acting like I did not know what I was doing
- 15 in preparation for the Musk trial. So her
- 16 involvement in that was much different than
- 17 Johnathan and Taylor's.
- 18 Q Okay. So my question to you is about
- 19 Nicole Wade in this time period leading up to
- 20 the March 17th Settlement Agreement, do you
- 21 believe that she extorted you as well? Or was
- 22 it just Johnathan and Taylor?
- 23 A I think they all three did. You were
- 24 asking me about the children.
- 25 O Yes.



Т	A They all three were trying to get money
2	they did not have the right to. They did not
3	get an agreement. That is as much their fault
4	as it would have been mine.
5	And then after the case settled and
6	they knew the amount, then they wanted to go
7	back and get the same amount that I had agreed
8	to give them in the Ramsey case, and after the
9	way they had treated me and looking at the work
10	done related to the result and how it came
11	about, the case didn't settle because of them,
12	it settled because of the argument that I made
13	to the Judge when he reversed himself and
14	reinstated part of the case. I didn't feel like
15	looking at that that was at all fair for them to
16	get that much money, but I agreed to it. I
17	wanted to move on. March the 17th.
18	MR. BEAL: Is this is a good place
19	for a break for five minutes?
20	MR. HARRISON: Sure.
21	(Whereupon, a short break was
22	taken.)
23	MR. BEAL: Did you have a
24	statement your client wanted to make?
25	THE WITNESS: You asked me. Drew.



1	convince him to reverse himself and to
2	leave in for litigation one aspect of
3	the claim of defamation.
4	So I can only tell you that I was
5	looking at it as an entirety. Not one
6	case is better than the other. So I am
7	not going to tell you what I told my
8	clients, what I am going to tell you,
9	and I don't know what Todd told them,
10	and I could be wrong about when the
11	Washington Post case settled; but I
12	think it was before the 26th because I
13	remember that I was surprised as we got
14	to when I found out he didn't
15	consent that no one had ever asked
16	between March the 17th and that date
17	what happened to the Washington Post
18	case. I don't know if you asked Joey
19	and them or not, because they were
20	representing them.
21	BY MR. BEAL:
22	Q And did you ever tell the Plaintiffs
23	that you felt that the Washington Post case had
24	significant value, approximately equal to the
25	Sandmann versus CNN case?



1	A I can't remember the specific statement
2	to that effect, but it wouldn't surprise me that
3	somewhere along the way when they were working
4	with me that I could have said we ought to maybe
5	do as well in Washington Post as we did in CNN;
6	but that is just an opinion and that changed.
7	It changed based on what the offer was and what
8	the clients were willing to take, and what Todd
9	wanted to do it with it. I am not going to tell
10	you the amount, but I am going to tell you that
11	it was significantly less than CNN.
12	BY MR. BEAL:
13	Q So the Plaintiffs' 10 percent of that
14	amount based on what you had told them earlier
15	in the case, that one fee amount could have
16	equaled over a million dollars?
17	A No.
18	Q Unlikely?
19	A Unlikely.
20	Q Okay.
21	A I mean what you did was you pulled a
22	number out of the air, without asking what it
23	had settled for; and then you wanted to come
24	back and re-settle what had already been settled
25	and have me make demands to pay things that had

